## United States Court of Appeals For the Eighth Circuit

	No. 24-1723	

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Tony Evans, also known as Big Boy

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri - St. Louis

\_\_\_\_\_

Submitted: September 11, 2024 Filed: September 16, 2024 [Unpublished]

Before LOKEN, GRUENDER, and SHEPHERD, Circuit Judges.

\_\_\_\_\_

PER CURIAM.

Tony Evans appeals the sentence imposed by the district court<sup>1</sup> after he pled guilty to drug offenses. His counsel has moved to withdraw, and has filed a brief

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable John A. Ross, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Missouri.

under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), challenging the substantive reasonableness of his 130-month term of imprisonment.

After careful review, we conclude that the term of imprisonment is not substantively unreasonable. See United States v. Lozoya, 623 F.3d 624, 625 (8th Cir. 2010) (standard of review). There is no indication that the district court overlooked a relevant factor, gave significant weight to an improper or irrelevant factor, or committed a clear error of judgment when it imposed the prison term. See United States v. Feemster, 572 F.3d 455, 461-62 (8th Cir. 2009) (en banc). Additionally, the 130-month term is within the Guidelines range, and therefore presumptively reasonable. See United States v. Foard, 108 F.4th 729, 738 (8th Cir. 2024).

We have independently reviewed the record under <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and have found no non-frivolous issues for appeal. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment, and grant counsel's motion to withdraw.